

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/470,446	Applicant(s) INGLE ET AL.	
	Examiner Rudy Zervigon	Art Unit 1763	

All Participants:

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(2) Susan Kalb (6508571717).

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 May 2007

Time: 4:19

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I called the law offices inquiring on the case. Mrs. Swiatek is no longer working for the firm. The Examiner is uncertain if Mrs. Swiatek took the case with her or if the case is still being prosecuted by Dorsey & Whitney. The time period under 37CFR1.136(a) elapsed on April 8, 2007 - 2 months under 37CFR1.192(a) + five month purchase under 37CFR1.17(a)..